This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the i	tems checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR	QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER: __

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/997,322	11/27/2001	Michael L. Gough	-	3459
75	90 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
PERKINS COIE LLP 101 Jefferson Drive		PHAM, KHANH B		
Menlo Park, CA	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2177	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/997,322	GOUGH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Khanh B. Pham	2177			
Period for Reply	appears on an over on one man	e con coponacino addiceo			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANE	by be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 September 2002.				
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 33-51</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	• •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 33-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/13/02, 1/27/03</u> , 6/16/03, 1/10103,		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Preliminary Amendment

- 1. The preliminary amendment filed September 13, 2002 has been entered.
 - The title and Specification have been amended.
 - Claim 1 has been amended.
 - Claims 2-32 have been canceled.
 - Claims 33-51 have been added.
 - Claims 1, 33-51 are pending in this Office Action.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 9/13/2002, 1/27/2003, 6/16/2003, 11/10/2003 and 2/12/2004 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Claims **40-51** are objected to because of the following informalities: duplicated word "**said**" at line 6 of claim 40 and line 5 of claim 46 should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,322 Page 3

Art Unit: 2177

5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 37 recites the limitation "said video" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purpose of examination and compact prosecution, the Examiner presumes "said video" should read: "said audiovisual enhancement" and treats this limitation as "said audiovisual enhancement" in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1, 33-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Budge et al. (US 6,014,689 A), hereinafter "Budge".

As per claim 1, Budge teaches a method for providing an audiovisual e-mail system (Col. 1 lines 34-52) comprising:

"providing a server connected to a network" at Col. 1 lines 18-23;

Art Unit: 2177

(Budge teaches an e-mail sever connected to the Internet)

"inputting a message and an audiovisual enhancement which is
associated with said message from a sender into said server, said
message to be sent as an e-mail to at least one recipient on said network"
at Col. 1 lines 53-64;

(Budge teaches the step of associating video and audio data (i.e., "audiovisual enhancement") to a video e-mail message)

 "associating said message with a self-executing programmable enhancement operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in conjunction with said message" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and Col. 8 lines 10-25;

(Budge teaches a self-executable video e-mail player attached to the e-mail message, the player decodes input bitstream and displaying video and audio data in the e-mail message)

 "and sending said e-mail over said network to said at least one recipient" at Col. 2 lines 25-27.

As per claim 33, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 39.

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 34, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 35, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 36, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of a recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

As per claim 37, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on a sender's machine, and is transmitted to said server over said network" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

As per claim 38, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 37 wherein "said network includes a TCP/IP network" at Col. 1 lines 20-21.

(Budge teaches the network includes the Internet, which is the network that uses TCP/IP protocol)

As per claim 39, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 38 wherein "said network includes the Internet" at Col. 1 lines 20-21.

As per claim 40, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system comprising:

 "a code segment transmitting over a network to a server an audiovisual enhancement which is associated with a message from a sender, said rt Unit: 2177

message to be sent as an e-mail to at least one recipient on said network" at Col. 1 lines 53-64;

 "a code segment associating said message with a self-executing code segment operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in conjunction with said message upon the selection of said message by said at least one recipient" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and Col. 8 lines 10-25.

As per claim 41, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 39.

As per claim 42, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 43, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 44, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in

Art Unit: 2177

claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of said recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

As per claim 45, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on a sender's machine" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

As per claim 46, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system comprising:

- "means transmitting over a network to a server from a sender machine an audiovisual enhancement which is associated with a message from said sender", said message to be sent as an e-mail to at least one recipient on said network" at Col. 1 lines 53-64; and
- "means associating said message with a self-executing program operative
 to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server
 over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in
 conjunction with said message on a recipient machine upon the selection
 of said message by said at least one recipient" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and
 Col. 8 lines 10-25.

As per claim 47, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 25-27.

As per claim 48, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 49, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 50, Budge teaches the audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of said recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

As per claim 51, Budge teaches the audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on said sender's machine" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-7299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The

Art Unit: 2177

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh B. Pham Examiner Art Unit 2177

Khanhysham

August 18, 2004